Study and comparison of lifestyle among first and last year medical students of Isfahan university of medical sciences in 2019-2020

Mohadesh Tiravari, Ziba Farajzadegan, Ali Hajigholami, Hourieh Ansari

1Department of Social Medicine, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
2Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine Al-Zahra Hospital Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Abstract

Introduction: Scientific studies confirm that unhealthy habits play an important role in the development of various disorders in all age groups. The field of medicine is a challenging period for students of this field that causes unwanted changes in their health habits and lifestyle.

Objectives: The aim of this study is the evaluate and compare the lifestyle among first and last year medical students of Isfahan university of medical sciences.

Patients and Methods: This study is a descriptive-analytic study that was conducted in two groups of first year and last year medical students in Isfahan university in academic year 2019-2020. The learning styles questionnaire (LSQ) is used in this study. It consists of 70 questions and measures lifestyle in 10 domains. This questionnaire is based on 6-point Likert scale and each question receives at least one point and maximum 6 points. Results were obtained by SPSS software version 22 and independent t-test and chi-square test.

Results: The age range of first year students was 18-24 years with a mean of 19.5 years and the age range of last year students was between 24 and 27 years with a mean of 25 years, which showed a significant difference (P<0.05; independent t test). There was no significant difference between the two groups in gender distribution and living status however, there was a significant difference between the two groups in marital status distribution. The mean scores of lifestyle scores in physical health dimensions and sport and physical activity in first year students were significantly higher than last year students since, the mean score of other aspects of life style was not significantly different between junior and senior students.

Conclusion: Overall, the mean scores of lifestyle scores in physical health dimensions and sport and physical activity in first year students were significantly higher than last year students however, the mean score of other aspects of life style was not significantly different between freshmen and senior students.

Introduction

One of the principle ways of health assessment in different societies is the study of health-promoting lifestyle. Several health problems such as cardiovascular diseases, obesity and cancers are nowadays observed in developed countries, and can be linked to the lifestyle changes of those (1). The World Health Organization (WHO) has impart that more than 60% of people’s health and quality of life depends on their lifestyle. Eating habits, stress control, physical activity, and smoking are the most important aspects of a person’s lifestyle (2). Choosing a lifestyle diet is important in both primary and secondary prevention of chronic disease (3). Worldwide studies have shown that people aged between 24 and 15 years old are more exposed to high-risk behaviors such as smoking, drinking, unhealthy sexual behaviors, inappropriate eating habits, and so on. Students are a relatively homogeneous and accessible population of the community, which is relatively healthy, this point is effective in reducing the incidence of disease (4).

University is a period of responsibility in terms of lifestyle choices and lifestyles behavior (5). Study of health factors and enjoying a healthy lifestyle, especially among students has a great role to promoting health (4). A recent study has shown that the average weight gain of freshman students is 1.3-3.1...
kg in the first year of college (6). Nola and colleagues concluded that eating habits and overall lifestyle of medical students were not favorable (2). The prevalence of psychosocial disorders including anxiety and depression was significant among medical students (7). The results of several studies showed that the integration of nutrition and physical activity topics to medical students’ curriculum has positive effect on their knowledge and health-related behaviors (8).

Objectives
The aim of this study was to evaluate and compare the lifestyle among first and last year medical students of Isfahan university of medical sciences. By comparing the lifestyles of new medical students and last years, it is clear how much the senior year students have been practicing and how their lifestyles have changed after undergoing specialized courses.

Patients and Methods
Study design
This study is a descriptive-analytical study that was performed on two groups of freshmen and senior medical students of Isfahan university of medical sciences in the academic year of 2018-2019.

The study population consisted of senior students (October 2012) with a population of 125 and first year (October 2018) students with a population of 130 participants. Using Morgan table, sample size was 100 in each group.

The sampling was conducted in the first year of classrooms and for the last year in the relevant hospitals.

The research ethics were followed in the form of questioning and data gathering. The study population was visited in person and after obtaining their consent to participate in the study and expressing the purpose of conducting the research and ensuring the confidentiality of the information, questionnaires were delivered and were given enough time to answer the questions.

Inclusion criteria included informed consent to participate in the study and attend the first or last year of medicine in the academic year 2018-2019. Questionnaires with more than 20% not completed were excluded.

The data collection tool was the learning styles questionnaire (LSQ) (9). The questionnaire consisted of 70 questions and measured lifestyle in 10 domains. The domains included: physical health domain 8 questions, exercise and health domain 7 questions, weight control and nutrition domain 7 questions, disease prevention includes 7 questions, mental health 7 questions, spiritual health 6 questions, social health 7 questions, drug avoidance 6 questions, accident prevention 8 questions and environmental health 7 questions. The values assigned to each question vary between 1 and 6. The method of grading this questionnaire was based on the 6-point Likert scale and each question received at least one point and a maximum of 6 points. The maximum score was 560 and the minimum score was 70. In order to properly interpret the domains, the total score and the scores of all domains were changed to 0-100 by changing the appropriate variable.

This questionnaire was administered to eligible individuals and was self-reported. At the beginning of the questionnaire, questions about students’ demographic information including age, marital status, residence (dormitory, family life and independent home), education level of parents and body mass index (BMI) are also included. For the BMI classification the WHO classification was used, where BMI <18.5 kg/m² as thin, 18.5-24.9 kg/m² as normal, 25-29.9 kg/m² as overweight, and 30-34.9 kg/m² was considered as level one obesity (10).

In the study of Lali et al, using construct validity factor analysis, the construct validated the lifestyle questionnaire as a multidimensional tool for assessing and measuring the lifestyle, was calculated 0.87 (Cronbach’s alpha) (9).

Data analysis
SPSS software version 22 was conducted for data analysis. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was employed to check the normality of the data. In this study, descriptive statistics including mean and standard deviation were used and analytical statistics including independent t test and chi-square were applied. The significance level in the present study is considered to be less than 0.05.

Results
The purpose of this study is evaluate and compare the lifestyle of first and last year medical students in Isfahan university of medical sciences. The mean age of first year students was 18.5 to 24.5 years and the mean age of freshmen was 24.3 to 25.3 years with a significant difference.

There was no significant difference between gender and residence status in the two groups, however marital status was significantly different between the two groups (Table 1). Parent education level and BMI status were not significantly different between the two groups (Table 2). In addition, three freshman (3%) and two senior (2%) were smoker, and the frequency of smoking was not significantly different between the two groups.

The mean scores of lifestyle scores in physical health dimensions and sport and physical activity in freshman students were significantly higher than senior students; however, there was no significant difference between freshman and senior students in total lifestyle scores and other dimensions (Table 3). In addition, 98% of freshmen and 99% of senior students had a moderate to good lifestyle score (50) and there was no significant difference between the two groups.

Analysis of covariance analysis by adjusting for age and marital status in freshman and senior students showed that the mean scores of lifestyle in physical health (P =
Lifestyle of medical students

0.03) as well as exercise and physical activity ($P = 0.01$) in first year students was significantly higher than the senior students, but there was no significant difference between the mean score of the whole life style and its other dimensions between the first and last year students.

Independent $t$ test showed that the mean score of life style in exercise and physical activity in single students was significantly higher than married students ($P = 0.007$), since the mean score of total life style and its other dimensions between single and married students was not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) (Table 4).

Discussion

The results of the study showed that lifestyle scores in both groups were in good condition and most people scored above the average.

The study did not show a significant difference in the mean score of life style of freshmen and senior year medical students. There was also no significant relationship between lifestyle and academic year of students. However, in the physical health and physical activity variables, first year students have significantly higher mean scores than senior year students. Singh et al, in their study on adolescents in India, did not find a significant relationship between student life style and age (11) which is in consistent with our study.

Demographic information, except marital status, was not significantly different between the two groups. The mean score of lifestyle in exercise and physical activity in single students was higher than married students. Probably the reason for a better lifestyle score in single students is more opportunity for physical activity or more attention due to less busy lifestyle.

The two groups had moderate physical activity and the first year mean score was higher than the last year average and the difference between the two groups was statistically significant. Abdullah et al mentioned 69% as the rate of having regular physical activity among the students in Hong Kong study (12), which is different from our results.

These results indicated that exercise is not planned in the daily life of students, which may be due to lack of opportunity and involvement in night shifts and also daily fatigue or struggles to pass the residency exam among senior students. Recommendations such as stretching and recommending a quick walk to the workplace and reinforcing a culture of physical activity in the workplace,
especially for women, can help the group at least.

The difference between the mean score of physical health in the freshman year compared to the last year seems to be reasonable considering the questions in this field especially in the field of sleep. The senior year students do not have good sleep due to night shift and increased work responsibilities and stress (13). In the study by Ghoreishi and Aghajani et al, a clear relationship between academic year and sleep quality among Zanjan medical students was detected which is in consistent with our results (14).

In this study, healthy eating was at a moderate level and was not significantly different between two groups. The young people's interest in fast food consumption and the lack of time due to study and night shifts and long working hours are reasonable causes. In a study on the nutrition status of medical students in Mashhad in 2013, students reported the main reason for not using snacks was the lack of time or high cost of healthy meals, while 88% of them were aware of a healthy diet (15).

In the present study, the highest subscales of lifestyle (93%) in first and last year medical students were related to opiate and drug abstinence among students. Internal studies are significantly lower than all foreign studies due to the illegal use of alcohol in our country and people's religious beliefs and cultural beliefs. Medical student's knowledge and the clinical evidence on the harmful effects of these substances on physical health is also one of the factors hindering their use.

The mean of cigarette smoking was 2% and 3% in the two groups where no significant difference was seen. In a 2010 study of Zagreb students by Nola et al, more than one-third (35%) of students were smokers, indicating the cultural differences of societies (2). High knowledge of medical students about the effects of smoking and its adverse effects, plays an important role in refraining from taking it.

The mean disease prevention score was estimated to be around 80% in both groups, which can be attributed to good physical health in this age group and sexual health, given the importance of hand hygiene, pressure control and vaccination in medical students (hepatitis B, tetanus and influenza), which is consistent with the study of Hosseinialhashemi et al, in Shiraz regarding high awareness and attitude about hand hygiene among health care workers (16).

The mean score of spiritual health was high in the two groups while no significant difference was seen, which is expected because of the religious community. In the 2010 study by Hsiao et al, the level of spiritual health of Taiwanese nursing students was also moderate (17).
The level of social health in both groups was higher than the average, which is in line with the study by Karimi et al in Tehran medical school (18) and contradictory with the study by Khalooei and Karamatili in Kerman medical students (19).

Mental health was higher than average between the two groups and there was no significant difference. The importance of stress control and hope for the future in this ages and occupation seems more and more important than any other. The survey conducted by von Bothmer and Fridlund regarding nursing students in 2006 also found that most students were in a moderate situation (4). However in the study by Ghaderi et al, the prevalence of psychiatric disorders in the first year was higher than other students while the mental health of the students was low, which is inconsistent with this study (20).

The mean score of accident prevention in the two groups was moderate to high.

Environmental health score was favorable in the two groups, which was correlated with the study by Golafrouz Ramezani and Tahsini about Kurdish students (21).

Although students in our study had average to high scores in most aspects, however in some aspects such as nutrition and physical activity need more attention and planned interventions, especially in married people who have more conflicts and fewer opportunities than single people. Changes in improving a healthy lifestyle among students have proven to be a fact.

Conclusion
Overall, the results of this study showed no significant difference in mean lifestyle scores between first and last year students. Efforts are needed to assist students in pursuing healthy lifestyles. Therefore, it is recommended to study on student attitudes in addition to knowledge and remove existing barriers.

It seems that, there is a need to plan and implement barriers, expand facilities, implement necessary interventions, and to develop a comprehensive plan to educate and encourage health-promoting behaviors among students accordingly.

Limitations of the study
Most aspects of lifestyle have been explored in this study. However, future research can be conducted by focusing on the remaining details such as study hours and stress too. The limitations of the present study are the small number of students and the lack of observation of their actual behavior regarding healthy lifestyles. Such cross-sectional studies cannot show causality and changes in lifestyle-related behaviors over time. Further studies should be suggested, more participants will be distributed, not only in terms of number but also across the different faculties of medical university. The long-term and two-step study on one group is also very applicable. As well as expanding the scope of this research, a more comprehensive evaluation of the subject is also possible.
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