

Diabetes and cancer; is there a relationship?



Fatemeh Sadat Aleabtahi¹, Banafsheh Yalameha¹, Ali Hasanpour Dehkordi^{2*}

¹Nickan Research Institute, Isfahan, Iran

²Social Determinants of Health Research Center., School of allied medical sciences, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran

Correspondence to:

Ali Hasanpour Dehkordi,
Email: alihasanpourdehkordi@gmail.com,
Ali20121968@yahoo.com

Received: 7 Oct. 2018

Accepted: 1 Dec 2018

ePublished: 15 Dec. 2018

Keywords: Cancer, Diabetes, Obesity, Hyperinsulinemia

Abstract

Diabetes and cancer are known as two of the most common diseases with significant impacts on the health and well-being of people throughout the world. Epidemiologic evidence has shown that diabetic patients are highly vulnerable to the risk of cancer. There are common risk factors in diabetes and cancer including age, obesity, dietary, physical activity, smoking and alcohol consumption. It has been demonstrated that diabetes imposes a significant impact on cancer through several mechanisms including hyperinsulinemia, hyperglycemia and chronic inflammation. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms involved in the occurrence of diabetes and cancer and their relationship can provide new strategies for the effective prevention, diagnosis and treatment of these diseases.

Citation: Aleabtahi FS, Yalameha B, Hasanpour Dehkordi A. Diabetes and cancer; is there a relationship? J Prev Epidemiol. 2018;3(2):e26.



Introduction

Diabetes and cancer are known as two of the most common diseases with significant impacts on the health of people throughout the world. The prevalence of these diseases is increasing even in developing countries (1). Many risk factors are expressed for type 2 diabetes (T2D) and cancer that some of them are common including obesity, sex, physical activity, age, diet, alcohol, and smoking (2). Epidemiologic studies have shown that diabetic patients are highly vulnerable to the risk of cancer (2,3). In addition, clinical reports of over 50 years have confirmed the simultaneous incidence of diabetes and cancer in patients (4). It has been demonstrated that diabetes enhances cancer through different mechanisms such as hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, and inflammation (5). According to the study, the intensity of obesity can be associated with a higher risk of prostate cancer and subsequently, death in obese men than men with normal weight (6). It has been presented that the metabolic factors as such hyperinsulinemia and obesity can prevent the prompt diagnosis and proper treatment of cancers including prostate cancer. Diabetes can increase cancer mortality rate, since, a study on the 5-year survival rate of breast cancer has displayed that diabetic-cancer patients were exposed to a higher mortality rate than non-diabetic cancer patients (7,8). However, more researches

Key point

Diabetes and cancer are recognized as two common diseases worldwide and one each can have a negative impact on the other one.

are needed to clarify the precise relationship between diabetes and cancer, accordingly, the present study aimed to investigate this matter.

Methods

For the present study, Web of Science, PubMed/Medline, Embase, Scopus, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), EBSCO, and Google Scholar were searched using keywords of cancer, diabetes, obesity, hyperinsulinemia, hyperglycemia, insulin, insulin analogs, insulin-like growth factor 1 and inflammation.

Common risk factors in diabetes and cancer

Age

Older patients are more prone to diabetes and cancer. However, other factors including epidemic obesity and T2D may affect the risk of cancer (9, 10).

Gender

The mean age of exposure to diabetes is higher in men than in women (11). Studies have exhibited that diabetes is associated with

the risk of all-site and some site-specific cancers (12-14). Besides, it has extremely been evident that diabetic women are more in the risk of stroke (15), coronary diseases (16) and dementia (17) than men. According to the findings of a systematic review and meta-analysis study, the highest observable mean score of gender-specific relative risk (RR) for diabetes-induced fatal/non-fatal cancers was respectively 1.27 in women and 1.19 in men. The highest observable mean score of RR for renal, oral, gastric and leukemia cancers was significantly witnessed in diabetic women than diabetic men while diabetic women presented a lower mean value for hepatic cancer than diabetic men (18). However, some other studies have indicated that there is no statistically significant relationship between cancer and gender in diabetic patients. Cancer in diabetic women may contribute to the poor regulatory mechanism of glucose compared with diabetic men (19,20). Additionally, women are not only less cared (19,20) but also use glucose-lowering medications less than men (21). In general, women are more likely to develop insulin resistance and subsequently at a higher exposure to hyperinsulinemia than men. Hyperinsulinemia increases cancerous cellular proliferation by stimulating insulin receptors directly and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) indirectly (3). Consequently, diabetes is a risk factor for cancer in both genders (women higher than men). The significance of gender is highly apparent in determining the effect of diabetes on the incidence, prevention, and treatment of cancer (18). Nonetheless, further studies are required to investigate the relationship between diabetes and cancer based on gender differences.

Obesity

Amongst various cancer types, cancers of the breast (in postmenopausal women), colorectal, endometrial, pancreatic, esophageal adenocarcinoma, renal, hepatic and gallbladder are mostly known as obesity-associated cancers. Obesity may enhance the mortality rate of prostate cancer (6). Moreover, a study has displayed that there is a significant relationship between obesity and the prevalence of T2D and insulin resistance (22).

Weight loss reduces the outbreak of diabetes and returns euglycemia in most patients with T2D. It also can diminish the risk of gestational diabetes (23). The relationship between weight loss and cancer has not been sufficiently investigated however, weight loss, may be the latent sign of cancer. It has been found that obese women with a history of weight loss surgery are less prone to cancer than obese ones without surgery. In contrast, no statistically significant relationship has been observed between bariatric surgery and cancer in men (24). It has been indicated that adipocyte cells produce interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) that lead to the expression of cell proliferation, angiogenesis, apoptosis inhibition, and increased C-reactive protein (CRP) (a risk factor for some cancers) (25, 26).

Dietary

Diets including low red meat and processed foods as well as fruit, vegetables, whole grains, and fiber-rich food may have a protective effect against T2D and improve insulin sensitivity. Energy-dense and sugary food results in weight gain and obesity. According to the American Cancer Society, the World Cancer Research Fund, and the American Institute for Cancer Research, the consumption of the aforesaid food should be limited (27-29).

Physical activity

The relationship between increased physical activity and decreased risk of cancer is still uncertain. However, some studies have represented that physical activity may ascend the survival rate of cancers such as breast (30) and colorectal (31). It has been illustrated that physical activity reduces insulin resistance, TGF-1 level, and estrogen even without losing weight. A study has also determined that moderate-intensity physical activities, like a 30-minute walking (5 times per week), can decrease the risk of T2D progression (32-34).

Smoking and alcohol

According to some studies, smoking is an independent risk factor for diabetes that also acts as a deterrent agent for diabetes treatment. According to the National Cancer Institute, smoking can cause pancreas, stomach, lung, mouth, throat, and esophagus cancers (35-37). Excessive alcohol use is another risk factor for diabetes. In addition, it has been shown that the occurrence of oral cancer is 6 times more in alcoholic people (38).

Possible biological relationship between diabetes and cancer

It seems that diabetes imposes a significant impact on cancer through several mechanisms including hyperinsulinemia, hyperglycemia and chronic inflammation.

The insulin and insulin-like growth factor axis

Most cancer cells express IGF-1 and insulin receptors (IR). Insulin receptor isoform A (IR-A) can stimulate insulin-mediated mitogenesis and defective cells in IGF-1 receptors (39). Moreover, IR is capable of cancer cell proliferation and metastasis. Concerning high glucose absorption in cancer cells that is independent of insulin binding, the activating effect of IRs on neoplasm cells may be more associated with the survival and mitogenesis of cancer cells than increase glucose absorption by these cells (40).

Signaling pathways activate several cancer phenotypes such as cellular proliferation, protection against apoptosis, invasive and metastatic stimuli that can potentially stimulate the growth of cancer cells. It has also been specified that insulin and IGF-1 induce normal cells to the progression of cancer cells (41). Hyperinsulinemia may increase carcinogenicity directly by affecting IGF-1 in the

circulation and cancer cells (42). The carcinogenic and antiapoptotic activities of IGF-1 are higher than those of insulin (43), meaning that it can act as the stimulant of precancerous and cancerous cells growth expressing the IGF-1, insulin and hybrid receptors (44).

Several studies have found that IR overexpression associated with breast cancer prognosis (45, 46). Although these results may be conflicting, but are consistent with other hormone-dependent metabolic pathways in breast cancer (47). In addition, the relationship between obesity, diabetes, and cancer can be directly perceived by insulin and IGF axes mechanisms (48). Increased insulin may affect the synthesis of other hormones related to cancers. Hyperinsulinemia is most probable to increase the synthesis of adrenal hormones and ovarian androgen in premenopausal women. It also increases testosterone bioavailability in women that related to the risk of some cancer. Increased endogenous sex steroid is highly associated with the high risk of endometrial and postmenopausal breast cancers in addition to other cancers (49).

Hyperglycemia

Glucose can mediate the complexity of the interaction between diabetes and cancer. A recent overview of Warburg theory and energy supply of cancer cells has shown that the energy supply of most cancers dependent on ATP, produced by glycolysis. Nevertheless, glycolysis, for ATP generation, requires more glucose for the intended energy supply compared with the process of oxidative phosphorylation. Also, untreated hyperglycemia is likely to facilitate cancer progression (50). There is no precise information on the relationship between cancer and the dose-response effect of glucose (51). Concerning the molecular heterogeneity of cancers, it cannot be ignored that there are some types of hyperglycemia-related cancers providing the chance of finding an appropriate treatment for diabetes and hindering the progression of cancer. However, data generally suggest that IR activation may be a more important variable than hyperglycemia for determining tumor development (3).

Inflammation cytokines

T2D and obesity may be effective in cancer development by activating other metabolic pathways. Adipose tissue is an active endocrine organ that produces free fatty acids, IL-6, TNF- α , monocyte chemoattractant protein, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), leptin, and adiponectin, each of which may play a crucial etiological role in cancer transformation or progression (52). The role of these molecules has identified in some cases. For instance, the plasminogen system is associated with breast cancer by affecting PAI-1 expression (53). Furthermore, induction of signal transducer and activator of transcription protein (STAT) pathway using such cytokines as IL-6 not only increases proliferation, survival, and invasion of cancer

cells but also suppresses host antitumor immunity (54). Studies on energy balance have supported the epidemiological outcomes of the relationship between obesity and cancer deaths. Certain cancers become more invasive due to overeating. Therefore, the limitation of calorie intake reduced the cancers invasive (55-57). In fact, it has revealed that dietary-caused changes in IL-6 and insulin may mediate the effect of diet on cancer and can also determine tumor differences based on tumor behavior depending on the specific signals of metabolic pathways (58).

Effect of antidiabetic drugs on cancer

Metformin (MET) is a biguanide that is widely applied to lower blood glucose of patients with T2D (59). In-vivo studies have shown that MET inhibits the proliferation of cancer cells and impedes colony formation by suppressing a part of the cell cycle (60, 61). MET utilization related to the reduced risk of different types of cancers, especially pancreatic, hepatic and colon cancers (62). Furthermore, MET reduces the progression of breast cancer in rodents (63). The results of a study exhibited that MET has a higher pathological response in patients with early-stage breast cancer receiving neoadjuvant therapy (64). Mechanically, activation of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in cancer cells by MET inhibits cellular proliferation and suppresses lipogenic enzymes to decrease fatty acid availability for tumor cells. Moreover, AMPK reduces pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, TNF- α , IL-8 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) by its anti-inflammatory property. Other mechanisms of action of MET in cancer cells include reduction of leptin, insulin, IGF-1 and elevation of adiponectin (65, 66). It may selectively exterminate the stem cells through suppressing NF- κ B and phosphorylation of STAT3 and improve the effect of regimen on breast cancer (67). Additionally, it is possible that MET impedes proliferation, migration and self-renewal of ovarian cancer cells by inhibiting sphingosine kinases 1 (SPHK1) (68).

Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) are known as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (PPAR- γ) agonists that lead to hypoglycemia and decreased insulin resistance in patients with T2D (69). Some studies have displayed that TZDs possess anti-carcinogenic effects through PPAR- γ activation that arrest cell cycle, induce apoptosis, inhibit angiogenesis and modulate differentiation (70). It has been indicated that different types of TZDs such as rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, and rosiglitazone can use as a treatment adjunct for cervical cancer (71).

Sulfonylureas and rapid-acting glinides, as secretagogues, release insulin by binding to beta-cell receptors. Sulfonylureas reduce A1C, also induce hypoglycemia. Research has reported that diabetic patients treated with the sulfonylureas were more vulnerable to cancer or cancer mortality rate than those treated with MET (72-75).

Incretin-based therapies

Medications such as exenatide (%50 structurally similar to glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1)) and liraglutide (analog of human GLP-1) both are considered as agonists binding to GLP-1 receptors and known as incretin-based therapies. These medications increase or replicate the effect of gut-derived incretin hormones (e.g. GLP-1). These hormones ameliorate glucose-dependent insulin secretion, reduce postprandial glucagon and postponed gastric emptying. It has been indicated that liraglutide maximizes the risk of medullary thyroid cancer (MTC) in rats and mice models. It also promotes calcitonin levels in human serum. Dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors (DPP-IV) block the GLP-1-degrading enzyme. Animal studies have demonstrated that DPP-IV increases the proliferation of beta cells. These medications have not yet presented any significant effect on human cancers (76).

As the 1st- and 2nd-line therapies of T2D, both GLP-1 agonists and DPP-IV inhibitors are the most interesting area of researches. The number of patients with increased risk of acute pancreatitis, pancreatic cancer, and thyroid cancer is limited in clinical trials to be a concerning matter (77). In smooth muscle cells, hyperglycemia exhibits mitogenic response by altering IGF-1 signaling (41). Based on cancer treatment policies, patients with pancreatic cancer are more exposed to the risk of diabetes (78). Moreover, cancer patients are highly vulnerable to hyperglycemia during the process of cancer treatment due to their particular dietary, infections, stress, and physical activity level (79). Daniel Morganstein, a Consultant Endocrinologist at Royal Marsden Hospital, found that the cancer patients who receive high-dose steroids are exposed to diabetes. The diabetogenic effect is caused by the high volatility of blood glucose levels in a short-term period. Nevertheless, substances used for cancer patients in chemotherapy are more likely to develop hyperglycemia than steroids (Drugs such as temsirolimus, docetaxel, and everolimus alone or in combination with other elements) (80).

Subcutaneous injection of insulin elevates the ratio of exogenous insulin to endogenous insulin and subsequently fortifies the relationship between hyperinsulinemia and the risk of cancer. Research has shown that insulin glargine may have an adverse effect on the risk of cancer by bounding to IGF-1 receptors. Nevertheless, the strengths and weaknesses of the aforesaid study are yet controversial (81-83). On the contrary, the findings of a 5-year survey on the impacts of insulin glargine versus NPH insulin on cancer found no evidence in the increased risk of cancer progression (84).

The relationship between exogenous insulin, insulin analogs, and cancer; possible mechanisms

Exogenous insulin or insulin analogs have direct effects such as interference of injected ligand with cancer cells that transforms normal cells or prone-to-change cells to

cancer cells, as well as indirect effects such as interference in cell surfaces of signaling molecules affected by the insulin injected to the target cells. Considering the direct effects of such ligands, not only should the inclination of exogenous substances to various receptors be heeded but also their pharmacokinetic aspects should be taken into account (85).

Studies have revealed that there are differences between the inclination of human insulin and insulin analogs to binding to IGF-1 receptors. Their findings have also conveyed that insulin glargine is more inclined to IGF-1 receptors and has higher mitogenic ability than human insulin or other insulin analogs (86-88). The affinity of some specific insulin analogs reduces IGF-1 receptors. However, insulin receptors do not cease the proliferation of malignant cells in response to insulin glargine (86). It is hardly possible that insulin and its analogs, which preserve the specificity of the insulin receptor more than the specificity of IGF-1 receptor, have significant mitogenic effects on neoplasm (47, 89).

Recent research has demonstrated that insulin receptors situated on the neoplasm cell may affect neoplastic behaviors. It has remained unrecognized that whether there is a biological difference between the exposure of cancer cells to endogenous insulin alteration in physiological conditions and levels of endogenous insulin in T2D, obesity and after administration of exogenous insulin. Diabetes and hypertension are the main risk factors for colorectal cancer. It has been stated that diabetic patients, especially diabetic men (than women), are more prone to colorectal cancer than healthy individuals (90-95). However, diabetes can increase the risk of colorectal cancer in women without the effect on their survival. According to the results of studies, the colorectal cancer patients with hypertension treated with bevacizumab were more likely to survive than those without hypertension (96-98). A study showed that metabolic diseases, hypertension, and diabetes are associated with advanced stages of colorectal cancer. In addition, the results of their study indicated that both hypertension and T2D are the most prevalent diseases in patients with colorectal cancer and both have the same impact on the survival of colorectal cancer (99).

Conclusion

Based on conducted studies, it can conclude that diabetes and cancer are recognized as two common diseases worldwide and one each can have a negative impact on the other one. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms involved in the occurrence of diabetes and cancer can provide new strategies for the effective prevention, diagnosis and treatment of these diseases.

Authors' contribution

FSA participated in the literature review and prepared the primary draft by FSA. BY and AHD edited the manuscript. All authors read and signed the final paper.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical considerations

Ethical issues (including plagiarism, data fabrication, double publication) have been completely observed by the authors.

Funding/Support

No sources of funding obtained to support the study.

References

- Scappaticcio L, Maiorino MI, Bellastella G, Giugliano D, Esposito K. Insights into the relationships between diabetes, prediabetes, and cancer. *Endocrine*. 2017;56(2):231-9.
- Ranc K, Jørgensen ME, Friis S, Carstensen B. Mortality after cancer among patients with diabetes mellitus: effect of diabetes duration and treatment. *Diabetologia*. 2014;57(5):927-34.
- Giovannucci E, Harlan DM, Archer MC, Bergenstal RM, Gapstur SM, Habel LA, et al. Diabetes and cancer: a consensus report. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2010;60(4):207-21.
- Joslin EP, Lombard HL, Burrows RE, Manning MD. Diabetes and cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 1959;260(10):486-8. doi: 10.1056/NEJM195903052601007.
- Vigneri P, Frasca F, Sciacca L, Pandini G, Vigneri R. Diabetes and cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2009;16(4):1103-23. doi: 10.1677/ERC-09-0087.
- Ma J, Li H, Giovannucci E, Mucci L, Qiu W, Nguyen PL, et al. Prediagnostic body-mass index, plasma C-peptide concentration, and prostate cancer-specific mortality in men with prostate cancer: a long-term survival analysis. *Lancet Oncol*. 2008;9(11):1039-47. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70235-3.
- Lipscombe LL, Goodwin PJ, Zinman B, McLaughlin JR, Hux JE. The impact of diabetes on survival following breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2008;109(2):389-95.
- Rosenbloom AL, Joe JR, Young RS, Winter WE. Emerging epidemic of type 2 diabetes in youth. *Diab Care*. 1999;22(2):345-54.
- Liese A, D'Agostino Jr R, Hamman R, Kilgo P, Lawrence J, Liu L, et al. SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study Group. The burden of diabetes mellitus among US youth: prevalence estimates from the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study. *Diab Care*. 2007;30(1):197-9.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National diabetes fact sheet: United States, 2005. Atlanta (GA): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2005. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/pdf/ndfs_2005.pdf.
- Noto H, Tsujimoto T, Sasazuki T, Noda M. Significantly increased risk of cancer in patients with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endocr Pract*. 2011;17(4):616-28.
- Ge Z, Ben Q, Qian J, Wang Y, Li Y. Diabetes mellitus and risk of gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2011;23(12):1127-35.
- Lee JY, Jeon I, Lee JM, Yoon JM, Park SM. Diabetes mellitus as an independent risk factor for lung cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013;49(10):2411-23. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2013.02.025.
- Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as a risk factor for stroke in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts, including 775 385 individuals and 12 539 strokes. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9933):1973-80.
- Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as risk factor for incident coronary heart disease in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts including 858,507 individuals and 28,203 coronary events. *Diabetologia*. 2014; 57:1542–1551. doi: 10.1007/s00125-014-3260-6.
- Chatterjee S, Peters SA, Woodward M, Arango SM, Batty GD, Beckett N, et al. Type 2 diabetes as a risk factor for dementia in women compared with men: a pooled analysis of 2.3 million people comprising more than 100,000 cases of dementia. *Diab Care*. 2016;39(2):300-7.
- Ohkuma T, Peters SA, Woodward M. Sex differences in the association between diabetes and cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 121 cohorts including 20 million individuals and one million events. *Diabetologia*. 2018;61(10):2140-54. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4664-5.
- Kautzky-Willer A, Kamyar MR, Gerhat D, Handisurya A, Stemer G, Hudson S, et al. Sex-specific differences in metabolic control, cardiovascular risk, and interventions in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Gend Med*. 2010;7(6):571-83.
- Petitti DB, Klingensmith GJ, Bell RA, Andrews JS, Dabelea D, Imperatore G, et al. Glycemic control in youth with diabetes: the SEARCH for diabetes in Youth Study. *J Pediatr*. 2009;155(5):668-72. e3.
- Kirkman MS, Rowan-Martin MT, Levin R, Fonseca VA, Schmittiel JA, Herman WH, et al. Determinants of adherence to diabetes medications: findings from a large pharmacy claims database. *Diab Care*. 2015;38(4):604-9.
- Schienkiewitz A, Schulze MB, Hoffmann K, Kroke A, Boeing H. Body mass index history and risk of type 2 diabetes: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)–Potsdam Study–. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2006;84(2):427-33. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/84.2.427.
- Morisset AS, St-Yves A, Veillette J, Weisnagel SJ, Tcherno A, Robitaille J. Prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus: a review of studies on weight management. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2010;26(1):17-25. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.1053.
- Renehan AG. Bariatric surgery, weight reduction, and cancer prevention. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10(7):640-1.
- Guo YZ, Pan L, Du CJ, Ren DQ, Xie XM. Association between C-reactive protein and risk of cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Association Between C-reactive Protein and Risk of Cancer: A Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies*. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev*. 2013;14(1):243-8. doi: 10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.1.243.
- Allin KH, Nordestgaard BG. Elevated C-reactive protein in the diagnosis, prognosis, and cause of cancer. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci*. 2011;48(4):155-70. doi: 10.3109/10408363.2011.599831.
- Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective [article online], 2007. London, World Cancer Research Fund, American Institute for Cancer Research. Available from <http://www.dietandcancerreport.org/>. Accessed 1 April 2010.
- Kushi LH, Byers T, Doyle C, Bandera EV, McCullough M, Gansler T, et al. American Cancer Society Guidelines on Nutrition and Physical Activity for cancer prevention: reducing the risk of cancer with healthy food choices and physical activity. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2006;56(5):254-81. doi: 10.3322/canjclin.56.5.254.
- Kastorini CM, Panagiotakos DB. Dietary patterns and prevention of type 2 diabetes: from research to clinical practice; a systematic review. *Curr Diabetes Rev*. 2009;5(4):221-7. doi: 10.2174/157339909789804341.
- Holmes MD, Chen WY, Feskanich D, Kroenke CH, Colditz GA. Physical activity and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. *JAMA*. 2005;293(20):2479-86.
- Meyerhardt JA, Giovannucci EL, Holmes MD, Chan AT, Chan

- JA, Colditz GA, et al. Physical activity and survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(22):3527-34.
31. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2014. *Diab Care*. 2014;37(Suppl 1):S14-S80. doi: 10.2337/dc14-S014.
 32. Campbell KL, Foster-Schubert KE, Alfano CM, Wang CC, Wang CY, Duggan CR, et al. Reduced-calorie dietary weight loss, exercise, and sex hormones in postmenopausal women: randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(19):2314-2326.
 33. Wu Y, Zhang D, Kang S. Physical activity and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2013;137(3):869-82. doi: 10.1007/s10549-012-2396-7.
 34. Foy CG, Bell RA, Farmer DF, Goff DC, Wagenknecht LE. Smoking and incidence of diabetes among US adults: findings from the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Diab Care*. 2005;28(10):2501-7.
 35. Willi C, Bodenmann P, Ghali WA, Faris PD, Cornuz J. Active smoking and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2007;298(22):2654-64.
 36. Haire-Joshu D, Glasgow RE, Tibbs TL. Smoking and diabetes. *Diab Care*. 1999;22(11):1887-98.
 37. Howard AA, Arnsten JH, Gourevitch MN. Effect of alcohol consumption on diabetes mellitus. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;140(3):211-219. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-140-6-200403160-00011.
 38. Denley A, Carroll JM, Brierley GV, Cosgrove L, Wallace J, Forbes B, et al. Differential activation of insulin receptor substrates 1 and 2 by insulin-like growth factor-activated insulin receptors. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2007;27(10):3569-77. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01447-06.
 39. Zhang H, Pelzer AM, Kiang DT, Yee D. Down-regulation of type I insulin-like growth factor receptor increases sensitivity of breast cancer cells to insulin. *Cancer Res*. 2007;67(1):391-7.
 40. Clemmons DR, Maile LA, Ling Y, Yarber J, Busby WH. Role of the integrin α V β 3 in mediating increased smooth muscle cell responsiveness to IGF-I in response to hyperglycemic stress. *Growth Horm IGF Res*. 2007;17(4):265-70. doi: 10.1016/j.ghir.2007.01.004.
 41. Giovannucci E. Insulin, insulin-like growth factors and colon cancer: a review of the evidence. *J Nutr*. 2001;131(11):3109S-20S.
 42. Weinstein D, Simon M, Yehezkel E, Laron Z, Werner H. Insulin analogues display IGF-I-like mitogenic and anti-apoptotic activities in cultured cancer cells. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2009;25(1):41-9.
 43. Pollak M. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor signalling in neoplasia. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2008;8(12):915-928. doi: 10.1038/nrc2536.
 44. Mulligan AM, O'Malley FP, Ennis M, Fantus IG, Goodwin PJ. Insulin receptor is an independent predictor of a favorable outcome in early stage breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2007;106(1):39-47.
 45. Ray A, Alalem M, Ray BK. Insulin signaling network in cancer. *Indian J Biochem Biophys*. 2014;2:22. doi: 10.1186/2050-7771-2-22.
 46. Law JH, Habibi G, Hu K, Masoudi H, Wang MY, Stratford AL, et al. Phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor- β /insulin receptor is present in all breast cancer subtypes and is related to poor survival. *Cancer Res*. 2008;68(24):10238-46.
 47. Godsland IF. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinaemia in the development and progression of cancer. *Clin Sci*. 2010;118(5):315-32. doi: 10.1042/CS20090399.
 48. Calle EE, Kaaks R. Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2004;4(8): 579-591.
 49. Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB. Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. *Science*. 2009;324(5930):1029-33.
 50. Yun J, Rago C, Cheong I, Pagliarini R, Angenendt P, Rajagopalan H, et al. Glucose deprivation contributes to the development of KRAS pathway mutations in tumor cells. *Science*. 2009;325(5947):1555-9. doi: 10.1126/science.1174229.
 51. Van Kruijsdijk RC, Van Der Wall E, Visseren FL. Obesity and cancer: the role of dysfunctional adipose tissue. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2009;18(10):2569-78. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.epi-09-0372.
 52. Ullisse S, Baldini E, Sorrenti S, D'Armiento M. The urokinase plasminogen activator system: a target for anti-cancer therapy. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets*; 9(1):32-71. doi:10.2174/156800909787314002.
 53. Yu H, Pardoll D, Jove R. STATs in cancer inflammation and immunity: a leading role for STAT3. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2009;9(11):798-809.
 54. Kalaany NY, Sabatini DM. Tumours with PI3K activation are resistant to dietary restriction. *Nature*. 2009;458(7239):725-731.
 55. Park EJ, Lee JH, Yu GY, He G, Ali SR, Holzer RG, et al. Dietary and genetic obesity promote liver inflammation and tumorigenesis by enhancing IL-6 and TNF expression. *Cell*. 2010;140(2):197-208. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.052.
 56. Algire C, Zakikhani M, Blouin MJ, Shuai JH, Pollak M. Metformin attenuates the stimulatory effect of a high-energy diet on in vivo LLC1 carcinoma growth. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2008;15(3):833-839. doi: 10.1677/erc-08-0038.
 57. Pollak M. Do cancer cells care if their host is hungry? *Cell Metab*. 2009;9(5):401-3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2009.04.006.
 58. Graham GG, Punt J, Arora M, Day RO, Doogue MP, Duong J, et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of metformin. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2011;50(2):81-98.
 59. Zakikhani M, Dowling R, Fantus IG, Sonenberg N, Pollak M. Metformin is an AMP kinase-dependent growth inhibitor for breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res*. 2006;66(21):10269-73.
 60. Liu B, Fan Z, Edgerton SM, Deng XS, Alimova IN, Lind SE, et al. Metformin induces unique biological and molecular responses in triple negative breast cancer cells. *Cell Cycle*. 2009;8(13):2031-40.
 61. Kasznicki J, Slivinska A, Drzewoski J. Metformin in cancer prevention and therapy. *Ann Transl Med*. 2014;2(6):57.
 62. Anisimov VN, Berstein LM, Egormin PA, Piskunova TS, Popovich IG, Zabezhinski MA, et al. Effect of metformin on life span and on the development of spontaneous mammary tumors in HER-2/neu transgenic mice. *Exp Gerontol*. 2005;40(8-9):685-93.
 63. Jiralerspong S, Palla SL, Giordano SH, Meric-Bernstam F, Liedtke C, Barnett CM, et al. Metformin and pathologic complete responses to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in diabetic patients with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(20):3297-3302.
 64. Faubert B, Vincent EE, Poffenberger MC, Jones RG. The AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) and cancer: many faces of a metabolic regulator. *Cancer Lett*. 2015;356(2):165-70.
 65. Mallik R, Chowdhury TA. Metformin in cancer. *Diab Res Clin Pract*. 2018;143:409-19.
 66. Hirsch HA, Iliopoulos D, Struhl K. Metformin inhibits the inflammatory response associated with cellular transformation and cancer stem cell growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2013;110(3):972-7.
 67. Rattan R, Graham RP, Maguire JL, Giri S, Shridhar V. Metformin

- suppresses ovarian cancer growth and metastasis with enhancement of cisplatin cytotoxicity in vivo. *Neoplasia*. 2011 May;13(5):483-91. doi: 10.1593/neo.11148.
68. Elte J, Blickle J. Reprint of: Thiazolidinediones for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Int Med*. 2007;18(1):18-25.
 69. Fröhlich E, Wahl R. Chemotherapy and chemoprevention by thiazolidinediones. *Bio Med Res Int*. 2015;2015:845340.
 70. Wuertz BR, Darrah L, Wudel J, Ondrey FG. Thiazolidinediones abrogate cervical cancer growth. *Exp Cell Res*. 2017;353(2):63-71.
 71. Bowker SL, Majumdar SR, Veugelers P, Johnson JA. Increased cancer-related mortality for patients with type 2 diabetes who use sulfonylureas or insulin: Response to Farooki and Schneider. *Diab Care*. 2006;29(8):1990-1.
 72. Currie C, Poole C, Gale E. The influence of glucose-lowering therapies on cancer risk in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52(9):1766-77.
 73. Monami M, Lamanna C, Balzi D, Marchionni N, Mannucci E. Sulphonylureas and cancer: a case-control study. *Acta Diabetol*. 2009;46(4):279. doi:10.1007/s00592-008-0083-2.
 74. Jonasson J, Ljung R, Talbäck M, Haglund B, Gudbjörnsdóttir S, Steineck G. Insulin glargine use and short-term incidence of malignancies—a population-based follow-up study in Sweden. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52(9):1745-54.
 75. Butler PC. Insulin glargine controversy: a tribute to the editorial team at *Diabetologia*. *Diabetes*. 2009;58(11):2427-2428. doi: 10.2337/db09-9030.
 76. Chatterjee S, Davies MJ, Khunti K. What have we learnt from “real world” data, observational studies and meta-analyses. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2018;20:47-58. doi: 10.1111/dom.13178.
 78. Ben Q, Xu M, Ning X, Liu J, Hong S, Huang W, et al. Diabetes mellitus and risk of pancreatic cancer: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Eur J Cancer*. 2011;47(13):1928-37. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2011.03.003.
 79. Habib SL, Rojna M. Diabetes and risk of cancer. *ISRN Oncol*. 2013;2013:583786. doi: 10.1155/2013/583786.
 80. Goldman JW, Mendenhall MA, Rettinger SR. Hyperglycemia associated with targeted oncologic treatment: mechanisms and management. *Oncologist*. 2016;21(11):1326-36. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2015-0519.
 81. Gerstein HC. Does insulin therapy promote, reduce, or have a neutral effect on cancers? *JAMA*. 2010;303(5):446-7.
 82. Smith U, Gale EA. Does diabetes therapy influence the risk of cancer? *Diabetologia*. 2009;52:1699-1708.
 83. Pollak M, Russell-Jones D. Insulin analogues and cancer risk: cause for concern or cause célèbre? *Int J Clin Pract*. 2010;64(5):628-36.
 84. Rosenstock J, Fonseca V, McGill J, Riddle M, Halle J, Hramiak I, et al. Similar risk of malignancy with insulin glargine and neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes: findings from a 5 year randomised, open-label study. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52(9):1971-3.
 85. Vigneri R, Goldfine I, Frittitta L. Insulin, insulin receptors, and cancer. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 2016;39(12):1365-76.
 86. Shukla A, Grisouard J, Ehemann V, Hermani A, Enzmann H, Mayer D. Analysis of signaling pathways related to cell proliferation stimulated by insulin analogs in human mammary epithelial cell lines. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2009;16(2):429-41.
 87. Kurtzhals P, Schäffer L, Sørensen A, Kristensen C, Jonassen I, Schmid C, et al. Correlations of receptor binding and metabolic and mitogenic potencies of insulin analogs designed for clinical use. *Diabetes*. 2000;49(6):999-1005.
 88. Liefvendahl E, Arnqvist H. Mitogenic effect of the insulin analogue glargine in malignant cells in comparison with insulin and IGF-I. *Horm Metab Res*. 2008;40(06):369-74.
 89. Cox ME, Gleave ME, Zakikhani M, Bell RH, Piura E, Vickers E, et al. Insulin receptor expression by human prostate cancers. *Prostate*. 2009;69(1):33-40.
 90. Ragozzino M, Melton LJ, Chu C-P, Palumbo P. Subsequent cancer risk in the incidence cohort of Rochester, Minnesota, residents with diabetes mellitus. *J chronic Dis*. 1982;35(1):13-9.
 91. Adami HO, McLaughlin J, Ekblom A, Berne C, Silverman D, Hacker D, et al. Cancer risk in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Cancer Causes Control*. 1991;2(5):307-14. doi:10.1007/BF00051670.
 92. O'Mara BA, Byers T, Schoenfeld E. Diabetes mellitus and cancer risk: a multisite case-control study. *J Chronic Dis*. 1985;38(5):435-41.
 93. Kune GA, Kune S, Watson LF. Colorectal cancer risk, chronic illnesses, operations and medications: case-control results from the Melbourne Colorectal Cancer Study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2007;36(5):951-7. doi:10.1093/ije/dym193.
 94. La Vecchia C, D'Avanzo B, Negri E, Franceschi S. History of selected diseases and the risk of colorectal cancer. *Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol*. 1991;27(5):582-6.
 95. Hardell L, Fredrikson M, Axelson O. Case-control study on colon cancer regarding previous diseases and drug intake. *Int J Oncol*. 1996;8(3):439-44.
 96. Österlund P, Soveri L, Isoniemi H, Poussa T, Alanko T, Bono P. Hypertension and overall survival in metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with bevacizumab-containing chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer*. 2011;104(4): 599–604.
 97. Horinouchi Y, Sakurada T, Nakamura T, Tajima S, Nishisako H, Abe S, et al. Hypertension as a predictive factor of effect of bevacizumab in treatment of colorectal cancer. *Yakugaku Zasshi*. 2011;131(8):1251-7.
 98. De Stefano A, Carlomagno C, Pepe S, Bianco R, De Placido S. Bevacizumab-related arterial hypertension as a predictive marker in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 2011;68(5):1207-13. doi: 10.1007/s00280-011-1604-1.
 99. Ahmadi A, Mobasheri M, Hashemi-Nazari SS, Baradaran A, Choobini ZM. Prevalence of hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus in patients with colorectal cancer and their median survival time: A cohort study. *J Res Med Sci*. 2014;19(9):850-854